

PART IV:

Source-to-Market Type

Background

Firearm laws vary widely at the state, local, and national levels, and these variations are a contributing factor in intrastate, interstate, and international source-to-market flows of trafficked firearms. At the intrastate and interstate levels, local and state governments have enacted a variety of restrictions on firearm transfers, including limits on the number of firearms a person may purchase within a month, and requirements for firearm purchases and private firearm transfers. Certain state and local jurisdictions also require some form of firearm registration. These restrictions and requirements are, in part, designed to make it more difficult for prohibited persons and those engaged in criminal activity to obtain firearms in private and unregulated transfers. One way that prohibited persons and criminal actors circumvent these safeguards is by obtaining firearms that have been trafficked from localities or states that do not have such laws in market transactions. At the international level, countries that have bans on certain types of firearm ownership, limits on the number of firearms a person may purchase or own, firearm registration, or firearm storage requirements likely have made it more challenging for prohibited persons and those engaged in criminal activity to easily obtain firearms in those countries. Prohibited persons and criminal actors within these countries may resort to obtaining firearms that have been trafficked from other countries (and states within those countries) with laws that may make it less challenging to obtain firearms in volume and from unregulated private transfers.

Source-to-Market Type

The term source-to-market type captures the geographic scope of firearm trafficking cases, which include intrastate, interstate, and international trafficking. Within the U.S., intrastate trafficking involves the movement of firearms in markets within states, while interstate trafficking occurs between states. International trafficking involves the movement of firearms in markets between the U.S. and a foreign country. For interstate and international trafficking, the term ‘source’ is used to identify the state or country that is the supplier of illicit firearms, while the term ‘market’ is used to identify the state or country that is the recipient of illicit firearms. In the case of international trafficking, the U.S. may serve as the source country while a foreign country serves as the market country, referred to as U.S. to foreign trafficking. Conversely, a foreign country may serve as the source country while the U.S. serves as the market country, referred to as foreign to U.S. trafficking. Importantly, firearm trafficking cases may involve more than one source-to-market type. The data presented and analyzed in this part are derived from SAs’ responses to questions 16 through 20 in the study survey.

SAs identified the source-to-market type in nearly 76% (7,350 of 9,708) of the firearm trafficking cases involved in the study period. Of these cases, most involved only one source-to-market type (91.9%; 6,751 of 7,350). Table STM-01 shows the percentage of firearm trafficking cases that involved intrastate, interstate, and international trafficking. Nearly 56% (4,108 of 7,350) of cases involved intrastate trafficking, followed by interstate trafficking at approximately 32% (2,358 of 7,350) of cases. U.S. to foreign trafficking accounted for approximately 19% (1,411 of 7,350) of cases, while foreign to U.S. trafficking accounted for less than 2% (100 of 7,350) of cases.


Table STM-01: Source-to-Market Type, 2017 – 2021 (N = 7,350)

Source-to-Market Type	Number of Cases	% Total Cases
Intrastate	4,108	55.9%
Interstate	2,358	32.1%
US to Foreign	1,411	19.2%
Foreign to US	100	1.4%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-01 shows yearly trends in the percentage of firearm trafficking cases involving intrastate, interstate, and international firearm trafficking in the study period. The yearly percentage of firearm trafficking cases involving intrastate, interstate, and international trafficking remained relatively stable, with one exception. The percentage of cases involving intrastate trafficking increased by 3.3 percentage points from 56.1% in 2017 to 59.4% in 2021 (a 5.9% increase in the population share of cases).

Figure STM-01: Percentage of Cases by Source-to-Market Type (N = 7,350)



Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Source-to-Market Type by Region

Table STM-02 shows the percentage of firearm trafficking cases in which the source-to-market type was identified in the study period. Among cases identified, the Southwest region accounted for approximately 28% (2,070 of 7,350) of cases, followed by the Northeast region at 25% (1,852 of 7,350), the Central region at 22% (1,595 of 7,350), and the Southeast region at 17% (1,254 of 7,350). The Northwest region and ATF Headquarters divisions accounted for a comparatively smaller share of cases.

Table STM-02: Firearm Trafficking Cases by Region, 2017 – 2021 (N = 7,350)

Region	Number of Cases	% Total Cases
Southwest	2,070	28.2%
Northeast	1,852	25.2%
Central	1,595	21.7%
Southeast	1,254	17.1%
Northwest	489	6.7%
ATF Headquarters	90	1.2%

Table STM-03 identifies the percentage of firearm trafficking cases that involved intrastate, interstate, and international trafficking within each region. Three regions predominantly investigated intrastate trafficking, accounting for approximately 75% (1,194 of 1,595) of Central region cases, 70% (342 of 489) of Northwest region cases, and 64% (798 of 1,254) of Southeast region cases. Most cases investigated by the Southwest region also involved intrastate trafficking (47.6%; 985 of 2,070 cases) and U.S. to Foreign Trafficking (45.9%; 950 of 2,070 cases). Furthermore, the Northeast region was most likely to investigate interstate trafficking, accounting for approximately 60% (1,112 of 1,852) of its cases. Following ATF Headquarters, SAs in the Southwest region were most likely to investigate U.S. to foreign trafficking, accounting for almost 46% (950 of 2,070) of its cases. Across all regions, very few trafficking cases involved the trafficking of firearms from foreign countries to the U.S.

Table STM-03: Source-to-Market Type by Region, 2017 – 2021 (N = 7,350)

Region	Intrastate		Interstate		U.S. to Foreign		Foreign to U.S.	
	Number of Cases	% Cases						
Northeast (N = 1,852)	787	42.5%	1,112	60.0%	44	2.4%	14	0.8%
Central (N = 1,595)	1,194	74.9%	442	27.7%	61	3.8%	22	1.4%
Southwest (N = 2,070)	985	47.6%	365	17.6%	950	45.9%	14	0.7%
Southeast (N = 1,254)	798	63.6%	288	23.0%	236	18.8%	32	2.6%
Northwest (N = 489)	342	69.9%	147	30.1%	38	7.8%	15	3.1%
ATF Headquarters (N = 90)	2	2.2%	4	4.4%	82	91.1%	3	3.3%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Top Five ATF Field Divisions by Source-to-Market Type

Table STM-04a identifies the top five ATF field divisions for each source-to-market type. These ATF field divisions were identified based upon the percentage of cases that involved intrastate, interstate, and international trafficking. Only ATF field divisions that closed at least ten trafficking investigations during the study were analyzed.

For intrastate trafficking, the top five ATF field divisions were St. Paul, Nashville, Detroit, Kansas City, and Louisville field divisions. Intrastate trafficking was predominantly investigated in these divisions, representing at least 80% of their cases.

For interstate trafficking, the top five ATF field divisions were New York, Newark, Boston, Washington, and Baltimore field divisions. The percent of interstate trafficking investigations in these divisions ranged from a low of approximately 49% in Baltimore to a high of approximately 86% in New York and Newark.

For U.S. to foreign trafficking, the top five ATF field divisions were Houston, Miami, Dallas, Los Angeles, and Phoenix field divisions. While not listed in the top five ATF field divisions, all cases (82 of 82) investigated by Headquarters (International Affairs Division) involved U.S. to foreign trafficking.

For foreign to U.S. trafficking, the top five ATF field divisions were Seattle, Tampa, Miami, Kansas City, and Detroit field divisions. Only a small percentage of cases involved foreign to U.S. trafficking, which ranged from nearly 8% (8 of 101) of cases for the Seattle field division to just under 3% of cases (4 of 162) for the Detroit field division.

Table STM-04a: Top Five ATF Field Divisions by Source-to-Market Type, 2017 – 2021

Intrastate			Interstate		
ATF Field Division	# Cases	% Cases	ATF Field Division	# Cases	% Cases
St. Paul ^(N = 119)	109	91.6%	New York ^(N = 504)	435	86.3%
Nashville ^(N = 256)	224	87.5%	Newark ^(N = 101)	87	86.1%
Detroit ^(N = 162)	133	82.1%	Boston ^(N = 395)	231	58.5%
Kansas City ^(N = 267)	219	82.0%	Washington ^(N = 280)	149	53.2%
Louisville ^(N = 218)	176	80.4%	Baltimore ^(N = 254)	124	48.8%
U.S. to Foreign			Foreign to U.S.		
ATF Field Division	# Cases	% Cases	ATF Field Division	# Cases	% Cases
Houston ^(N = 653)	410	62.8%	Seattle ^(N = 101)	8	7.9%
Miami ^(N = 237)	120	50.6%	Tampa ^(N = 254)	15	5.9%
Dallas ^(N = 404)	180	44.6%	Miami ^(N = 237)	9	3.8%
Los Angeles ^(N = 235)	85	36.2%	Kansas City ^(N = 267)	7	2.6%
Phoenix ^(N = 778)	275	35.3%	Detroit ^(N = 162)	4	2.5%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-04 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for the complete list of all ATF field divisions in the study period.

Top Five Trafficking Channels within Source-to-Market Type

Table STM-05 shows the top five trafficking channels within each source-to-market type in the study period. Unlicensed dealers and straw purchasing were identified as the trafficking channels in at least a third of investigations that involved the U.S. as the source. Stolen firearms and firearms sourced from gun shows, flea markets, or auctions are in the top five trafficking channels for investigations involving domestic trafficking (intrastate and interstate), whereas online marketplaces are only represented in the top five channels for international trafficking (U.S. to foreign and foreign to U.S.).

Table STM-05: Top Five ATF Firearm Trafficking Channels within Source-to-Market Type, 2017 – 2021

Intrastate ^(N = 4,108)			Interstate ^(N = 2,358)		
Trafficking Channel	Number of Cases	% Cases	Trafficking Channel	Number of Cases	% Cases
Trafficking in firearms by an unlicensed dealer (private person)	1,623	39.5%	Trafficking in firearms by an unlicensed dealer (private person)	1,119	47.5%
Trafficking in firearms by a straw purchaser or straw purchasing ring	1,393	33.9%	Trafficking in firearms by a straw purchaser or straw purchasing ring	1,061	45.0%
Trafficking in firearms stolen from an FFL	709	17.3%	Trafficking in firearms stolen from private persons	170	7.2%
Trafficking in firearms stolen from private persons	409	10.0%	Trafficking in firearms stolen from an FFL	155	6.6%
Trafficking in firearms at gun shows, flea markets, or auctions	133	3.2%	Trafficking in firearms at gun shows, flea markets, or auctions	101	4.3%

U.S. to Foreign (N = 1,411)			Foreign to U.S. (N = 100)		
Trafficking Channel	Number of Cases	% Cases	Trafficking Channel	Number of Cases	% Cases
Trafficking in firearms by a straw purchaser or straw purchasing ring	717	50.8%	Trafficking in firearms illegally imported to the United States	63	63.0%
Trafficking in firearms illegally exported from the United States	606	43.0%	Trafficking in firearms through online marketplaces	36	36.0%
Trafficking in firearms by an unlicensed dealer (private person)	482	34.2%	Trafficking in firearms by an unlicensed dealer (private person)	29	29.0%
Trafficking in firearms through online marketplaces	77	5.5%	Trafficking in firearms through unlicensed making (PMFs, etc.)	18	18.0%
Trafficking in firearms at gun shows, flea markets, or auctions	63	4.5%	Trafficking in firearms by a straw purchaser or straw purchasing ring	7	7.0%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Intrastate Firearm Trafficking

Table STM-06 and Figure STM-02 identify the number of cases for each of the top ten states for intrastate trafficking. For the top ten intrastate trafficking states, the percentage of intrastate trafficking cases ranged from approximately 12% (499 of 4,108) for Texas to 3% (139 of 4,108) for Michigan. The top ten intrastate trafficking states accounted for more than half (56.9%; 2,339 of 4,108) of all intrastate trafficking cases.

Table STM-06: Intrastate Trafficking: Top Ten States, 2017 – 2021 ^(N = 4,108)

State	Number of Cases	% Total Cases
Texas	499	12.1%
Arizona	377	9.2%
Pennsylvania	257	6.3%
Florida	245	6.0%
California	214	5.2%
Illinois	186	4.5%
Ohio	179	4.4%
Virginia	148	3.6%
Wisconsin	144	3.5%
Michigan	139	3.4%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-02: Intrastate Trafficking: Map of the Top Ten States, 2017 – 2021




Table STM-07a shows yearly trends in the percentage of intrastate trafficking cases for the top ten states. Between 2017 and 2021, Arizona and Ohio experienced notable increases in their share of intrastate trafficking cases, accounting for approximately 9% (377 of 4,108) and 4% (179 of 4,108) of all intrastate trafficking cases, respectively. Furthermore, the percentage of intrastate trafficking cases involving Arizona increased by 8.2 percentage points from 5.6% in 2017 to 13.8% in 2021 (a 146.4% increase in the population share of cases). In comparison, the percentage of intrastate trafficking cases involving Ohio increased by 4.7 percentage points from 2.9% in 2017 to 7.6% in 2021 (a 162.1% increase in the population share of cases).

Table STM-07a: Intrastate Trafficking: Trends in the Top Ten States ^(N = 4,108)

State						%
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Difference
Texas	12.5%	10.6%	13.8%	12.7%	11.5%	-1.0%
Arizona	5.6%	7.7%	7.7%	12.2%	13.8%	8.2%
Pennsylvania	6.0%	6.1%	6.8%	6.4%	6.0%	0.0%
Florida	6.7%	5.9%	6.4%	6.0%	4.7%	-2.0%
California	6.7%	6.0%	3.0%	4.6%	5.3%	-1.3%
Illinois	4.9%	3.2%	3.6%	5.4%	5.7%	0.9%
Ohio	2.9%	4.3%	2.7%	4.8%	7.6%	4.7%
Virginia	3.3%	2.8%	4.8%	3.0%	4.3%	1.0%
Wisconsin	2.2%	3.1%	3.9%	3.8%	4.9%	2.7%
Michigan	2.6%	2.4%	4.8%	3.4%	4.0%	1.4%
Total Cases for All States	947	881	777	735	768	

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-07 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for state trends in intrastate trafficking.

Interstate Firearm Trafficking

Source-states

Table STM-08 and Figure STM-03 identify the top ten source-states for interstate trafficking based on their percentage of investigations in the study period. For the top ten source-states, the percentage of interstate trafficking cases ranged from approximately 12% (276 of 2,358) for Georgia to approximately 4% (87 of 2,358) for Nevada. The top ten source-states accounted for more than half (65.8%; 1,552 of 2,358) of all interstate trafficking cases.

Table STM-08: Interstate Trafficking: Top Ten Source-states, 2017 – 2021 ^(N = 2,358)

Source-state	Number of Cases	% Cases
Georgia	276	11.7%
Arizona	266	11.3%
Virginia	246	10.4%
Indiana	160	6.8%
Ohio	128	5.4%
Florida	123	5.2%
Pennsylvania	114	4.8%
North Carolina	103	4.4%
South Carolina	91	3.9%
Nevada	87	3.7%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-03: Interstate Trafficking: Map of the Top Ten Source-states, 2017 – 2021




Table STM-09a shows yearly trends in the percentage of interstate trafficking cases for the top ten source-states. In the study period, Arizona and Indiana experienced notable increases in their share of interstate trafficking cases. The percentage of interstate trafficking cases involving Arizona as a source-state increased by 14.5 percentage points from 7.3% in 2017 to 21.8% in 2021 (a 198.6% increase in the population share of cases). In comparison, the percentage of interstate trafficking cases involving Indiana as a source-state increased by 5.6 percentage points from 5.4% in 2017 to 11.0% in 2021 (a 103.7% increase in the population share of cases).

In addition, Florida and Virginia experienced notable decreases in their share of interstate trafficking cases in the study period. The percentage of interstate trafficking cases involving Florida as a source-state decreased by 4.7 percentage points from 7.3% in 2017 to 2.6% in 2021 (a 64.4% decrease in the population share of cases). In comparison, the percentage of interstate trafficking cases involving Virginia as a source-state decreased by 4.4 percentage points from 10.1% in 2017 to 5.7% in 2021 (a 43.6% decrease in the population share of cases).

Table STM-09a: Interstate Trafficking: Trends in the Top Ten Source-states (N = 2,358)

Source-state	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	% Difference
Georgia	11.2%	15.1%	11.4%	6.5%	12.7%	1.4%
Arizona	7.3%	8.5%	6.0%	15.5%	21.8%	14.5%
Virginia	10.1%	13.9%	11.9%	9.0%	5.7%	-4.4%
Indiana	5.4%	3.4%	6.5%	9.6%	11.0%	5.6%
Ohio	4.9%	6.5%	4.2%	5.9%	5.5%	0.6%
Florida	7.3%	5.8%	5.3%	4.1%	2.6%	-4.7%
Pennsylvania	4.9%	6.5%	5.1%	4.1%	2.9%	-2.0%
North Carolina	3.2%	5.1%	6.7%	3.6%	3.1%	-0.1%
South Carolina	3.9%	4.1%	3.5%	4.7%	3.1%	-0.8%
Nevada	3.9%	4.1%	4.9%	1.3%	3.8%	-0.1%
Total Cases for All States	534	589	430	387	418	

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-09 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for source-state trends in interstate trafficking in the study period.

Market-states

Table STM-10 and Figure STM-04 identifies the top ten market-states for interstate trafficking based on their percentage of investigations in the study period. For the top ten market-states, the percentage of interstate trafficking cases ranged from nearly 24% (555 of 2,358) for New York as the market-state to only 2% (41 of 2,358) for Puerto Rico. The top ten market-states accounted for approximately 84% (1,971 of 2,358) of all interstate trafficking cases. In 50 investigations SAs identified Texas as the market-state; however, in nearly 20% of those investigations, SAs also identified U.S. to foreign trafficking as a focus of the investigation. This indicates that Texas was likely a trans-shipment point for further cross-border trafficking.

Table STM-10: Interstate Trafficking: Top Ten Market-states, 2017 – 2021 (N = 2,358)

Market-state	Number of Cases	% Cases
New York	555	23.5%
California	422	17.9%
Illinois	279	11.8%
Maryland	183	7.8%
Massachusetts	180	7.6%
New Jersey	156	6.6%
District of Columbia	116	4.9%
Pennsylvania	74	3.1%
Texas	50	2.1%
Puerto Rico	41	1.7%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-04: Interstate Trafficking: Map of the Top Ten Market-states, 2017 – 2021




Table STM-11a shows yearly trends in the percentage of interstate trafficking cases for the top ten market-states. In the study period, California and Illinois experienced notable increases in their share of interstate trafficking cases. The percentage of interstate trafficking cases involving California as a market-state increased by 13.3 percentage points from 14.4% in 2017 to 27.8% in 2021 (a 93.1% increase in the population share of cases). This difference was almost two times greater than that observed for Illinois, which increased by 7.5 percentage points from 10.7% in 2017 to 18.2% in 2021 (a 70.1% increase in the population share of cases).

In addition, New Jersey and Massachusetts experienced notable decreases in their share of interstate trafficking cases in the study period. The percentage of interstate trafficking cases that involved New Jersey as market-state decreased by 6.4 percentage points from 9.6% in 2017 to 3.1% in 2021 (a 67.7% decrease in the population share of cases). In comparison, the percentage of interstate trafficking cases that involved Massachusetts as a market-state decreased by 5.8 percentage points from 10.1% in 2017 to 4.3% in 2021 (a 57.4% decrease in the population share of cases).

Table STM-11a: Interstate Trafficking: Trends in the Top Ten Market-states (N = 2,358)

Market-state	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	% Difference
New York	18.9%	33.3%	28.4%	18.9%	15.1%	-3.8%
California	14.4%	15.8%	13.3%	20.4%	27.8%	13.3%
Illinois	10.7%	8.8%	9.1%	14.2%	18.2%	7.5%
Maryland	8.2%	7.6%	9.1%	6.7%	6.9%	-1.3%
Massachusetts	10.1%	6.8%	8.4%	8.3%	4.3%	-5.8%
New Jersey	9.6%	8.5%	4.4%	5.9%	3.1%	-6.4%
District of Columbia	4.1%	4.8%	7.9%	3.9%	4.1%	-0.1%
Pennsylvania	2.1%	3.2%	3.5%	2.8%	4.3%	2.2%
Texas	1.9%	1.4%	2.8%	2.8%	2.2%	0.3%
Puerto Rico	2.8%	1.5%	2.8%	0.8%	0.5%	-2.3%
Total Case for All States	534	589	430	387	418	

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-11 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for market-state trends in interstate trafficking in the study period.

Interstate Trafficking: Source-to-Market Pipelines

For purposes of this analysis, a “pipeline” represents the movement of trafficked firearms from a source-state to a market-state. Table STM-12 and Figures STM-05, STM-06, and STM-07 identify the top ten pairings of source-state to market-state pipelines based on their percentage of investigations in the study period. For the top ten pipelines, the percentage of interstate trafficking cases ranged from approximately 10% (245 of 2,358) for the Arizona-to-California pipeline to approximately 2% (54 of 2,358) for the Pennsylvania-to-New York pipeline. The top ten pipelines accounted for approximately 40% (940 of 2,358) of all interstate trafficking cases.

Table STM-12: Interstate Trafficking: Top Ten Pipelines, 2017 – 2021 (N = 2,358)

Pipeline	Number of Cases	% Cases
Arizona to California	245	10.4%
Indiana to Illinois	134	5.7%
Georgia to New York	132	5.6%
Nevada to California	78	3.3%
Virginia to Maryland	74	3.1%
Virginia to District of Columbia	71	3.0%
Virginia to New York	67	2.8%
Ohio to New York	59	2.5%
New Hampshire to Massachusetts	58	2.5%
Pennsylvania to New York	54	2.3%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-05: Map of Interstate Trafficking Pipelines: Northwest and Southwest Border Regions, 2017 – 2021



Figure STM-06: Map of Interstate Trafficking Pipelines: Central & Northeast Regions, 2017 – 2021




Figure STM-07: Map of Interstate Trafficking Pipelines: Southeast & Northeast Regions, 2017 – 2021




Table STM-13a shows yearly trends in the percentage of interstate trafficking cases for the top ten pipelines. The number of investigations associated with seven of the top ten trafficking pipelines remained relatively stable in the study period. However, the Arizona-to-California and Indiana-to-Illinois pipelines experienced notable increases in their share of interstate trafficking cases. The percentage of interstate trafficking cases involving the Arizona-to-California pipeline increased by 15.1 percentage points from 6.2% in 2017 to 21.3% in 2021 (a 243.5% increase in the population share of cases). This difference was more than three times greater than that observed for the Indiana-to-Illinois pipeline. The percentage of interstate trafficking cases that involved this pipeline increased by 4.8 percentage points from 4.5% in 2017 to 9.3% in 2021 (a 106.7% increase in the population share of cases). The only notable decrease in population share was observed for the New Hampshire-to-Massachusetts pipeline. The New Hampshire-to-Massachusetts pipeline decreased by 3.0 percentage points from 3.9% in 2017 to 1.0% in 2021 (a 74.4% decrease in the population share of cases).

Table STM-13a: Interstate Trafficking: Trends in the Top Ten Pipelines (N = 2,358)

Source-state to Market-state	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	% Difference
Arizona to California	6.2%	8.0%	5.6%	13.4%	21.3%	15.1%
Indiana to Illinois	4.5%	2.7%	5.6%	8.0%	9.3%	4.8%
Georgia to New York	5.1%	8.7%	6.5%	1.8%	4.5%	-0.5%
Nevada to California	3.6%	3.6%	4.7%	1.3%	3.1%	-0.4%
Virginia to Maryland	3.2%	2.9%	4.7%	3.1%	1.9%	-1.3%
Virginia to District of Columbia	2.8%	3.2%	4.9%	2.3%	1.7%	-1.1%
Virginia to New York	2.4%	5.4%	2.8%	2.1%	0.5%	-2.0%
Ohio to New York	0.9%	3.7%	3.3%	2.6%	1.9%	1.0%
New Hampshire to Massachusetts	3.9%	2.5%	2.6%	1.8%	1.0%	-3.0%
Pennsylvania to New York	1.9%	3.2%	3.0%	1.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Total Cases for All Pipelines	534	589	430	387	418	

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-13 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for the trends in all interstate trafficking pipelines in the study period.

International: U.S. to Foreign

Source-states

Table STM-14 and Figure STM-08 identify the top five source-states involved in U.S. to foreign trafficking based on their percentage of investigations in the study period. For the top five source-states, the percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases ranged from approximately 43% (599 of 1,411) for Texas to slightly more than 2% (32 of 1,411) for California. The top five source-states accounted for approximately 86% (1,207 of 1,411) of all U.S. to foreign trafficking cases.

Table STM-14: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Top Five Source-states, 2017 – 2021 (N = 1,411)

Source-state	Number of Cases	% Cases
Texas	599	42.5%
Arizona	355	25.2%
Florida	194	13.7%
Georgia	34	2.4%
California	32	2.3%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-08: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Map of the Top Five Source-states, 2017 – 2021




Table STM-15a shows yearly trends in the percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases for the top five source-states. The top three source-states experienced notable changes over this period. The percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases involving Texas increased by 12.1 percentage points from 32.5% in 2017 to 44.6% in 2021 (a 37.2% increase in the population share of cases). This difference was approximately 1.4 times greater than that observed for Arizona. The percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases that involved Arizona as the source-state increased by 8.8 percentage points from 23.2% in 2017 to 32.0% in 2021 (a 37.9% increase in the population share of cases). The percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases that involved Florida substantially decreased from 25.7% in 2017 to 9.1% in 2021 (a 64.6% reduction in the population share of cases).

Table STM-15a: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Trends in the Top Five Source-states ^(N = 1,411)

Source-state	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	% Difference
Texas	32.5%	46.3%	44.2%	46.4%	44.6%	12.1%
Arizona	23.2%	21.3%	22.8%	29.0%	32.0%	8.8%
Florida	25.7%	10.6%	10.5%	10.3%	9.1%	-16.6%
Georgia	0.9%	4.7%	4.2%	0.8%	0.9%	-0.1%
California	3.1%	3.8%	2.5%	0.8%	0.4%	-2.7%
Total Cases for All States	323	320	285	252	231	

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-15 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for source-state trends in U.S. to foreign trafficking in the study period.

Market-countries

Table STM-16 and Figure STM-09 identify the top five market-countries involved in U.S. to foreign trafficking based on their percentage of investigations in the study period. For the top five market-countries, the percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases ranged from nearly 72% (1,011 of 1,411) involving Mexico as the market-country to less than 2% (23 of 1,411) for Brazil. The top five market-countries accounted for approximately 86% (1,220 of 1,411) of all U.S. to foreign trafficking cases.

Table STM-16: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Top Five Market-countries, 2017 – 2021 ^(N = 1,411)

Market-country	Number of Cases	% Cases
Mexico	1,011	71.7%
Canada	92	6.5%
Bahamas	60	4.3%
Haiti	35	2.5%
Brazil	23	1.6%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-09: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Map of the Top Five Market-countries, 2017 – 2021




Table STM-17a shows yearly trends in the percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases for the top five market-countries. All but one market-country experienced notable changes in population share in the study period. The percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases involving Mexico increased by 20.3 percentage points from 58.5% in 2017 to 78.8% in 2021 (a 34.7% increase in the population share of cases). This difference was over three times greater than that observed for Canada, which increased by 6.5 percentage points from 4.3% in 2017 to 10.8% in 2021 (a 151.2% increase in the population share of cases). The only notable reduction in population share was identified for the Bahamas, which decreased by 6.5 percentage points from 8.7% in 2017 to 2.2% in 2021 (a 74.7% decrease in the population share of cases).

Table STM-17a: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Trends in the Top Five Market-countries (N = 1,411)

Market-country	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	% Difference
Mexico	58.5%	73.8%	70.9%	80.2%	78.8%	20.3%
Canada	4.3%	5.3%	7.7%	5.6%	10.8%	6.5%
Bahamas	8.7%	2.8%	3.5%	3.2%	2.2%	-6.5%
Haiti	4.3%	2.5%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%	-2.6%
Brazil	3.7%	1.6%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-2.2%
Total Cases for All Countries	323	320	285	252	231	

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-17 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for market-country trends in U.S. to foreign trafficking in the study period.

Source-state to Market-country Pipelines

Table STM-18 and Figure STM-10 identify the top five U.S. to foreign trafficking pipelines based on their percentage of investigations in the study period. For the top five pipelines, the percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases ranged from approximately 40% (558 of 1,411) for the Texas-to-Mexico pipeline to less than 2% (22 of 1,411) for the California-to-Mexico pipeline. The top five pipelines accounted for approximately 72% (1,014 of 1,411) of all U.S. to foreign trafficking cases.

Table STM-18: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Top Five Pipelines, 2017 – 2021 (N = 1,411)

Source-state to Market-country	Number of Cases	% Cases
Texas to Mexico	558	39.5%
Arizona to Mexico	349	24.7%
Florida to Bahamas	58	4.1%
Florida to Haiti	32	2.3%
California to Mexico	22	1.6%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-10: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Map of the Top Five Pipelines 2017 – 2021




Table STM-19a shows yearly trends in the percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases for the top five pipelines. The percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases that involved the Texas-to-Mexico and Arizona-to-Mexico pipelines increased in the study period. The largest increase was observed for the Arizona-to-Mexico pipeline, which increased by 8.6 percentage points from 22.6% in 2017 to 31.2% in 2021 (a 38.0% increase in the population share of cases). Closely following this trend, the percentage of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases that involved the Texas-to-Mexico pipeline increased by 7.9 percentage points from 31.9% in 2017 to 39.8% in 2021 (a 24.8% increase in the population share of cases). The only notable decrease in population share was observed for the Florida-to-Bahamas pipeline. The Florida-to-Bahamas pipeline decreased by 6.2 percentage points from 8.4% in 2017 to 2.2% in 2021 (a 73.8% decrease in the population share of cases).

Table STM-19a: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Trends in the Top Five Pipelines ^(N=1,411)

Source-state to Market-country	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	% Difference
Texas to Mexico	31.9%	43.1%	40.7%	43.3%	39.8%	7.9%
Arizona to Mexico	22.6%	21.3%	22.5%	28.6%	31.2%	8.6%
Florida to Bahamas	8.4%	2.8%	3.2%	3.2%	2.2%	-6.2%
Florida to Haiti	4.3%	2.5%	1.4%	0.8%	1.7%	-2.6%
California to Mexico	1.5%	2.8%	1.8%	0.8%	0.4%	-1.1%
Total Cases for All Pipelines	323	320	285	252	231	

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-19 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for the trends in all U.S. to foreign trafficking pipelines in the study period.

International: Foreign to U.S.

Source-country

Table STM-20a and Figure STM-09 identifies the top five source-countries for foreign to U.S. trafficking based on their percentage of investigations in the study period. The percentage of foreign to U.S. trafficking cases ranged from 52% (52 of 100) for China as the source to 4% (4 of 100) for Canada. The top five source-countries accounted for 81% (81 of 100) of all foreign to U.S. trafficking cases.

Table STM-20a: Foreign to U.S. Trafficking: Top Five Source-countries, 2017 – 2021 ^(N=100)

Source-country	Number of Cases	% Cases
China	52	52.0%
Mexico ¹	12	12.0%
Russia	8	8.0%
Sweden	5	5.0%
Canada	4	4.0%

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Figure STM-11: Foreign to U.S Trafficking: Map of the Top Five Source-countries, 2017 – 2021





Figure STM-10 shows yearly trends in the percentage of foreign to U.S. trafficking cases involving China.² The percentage of foreign to U.S. trafficking cases involving China increased by an astounding 19.7 percentage points from 18.8% in 2017 to 38.5% in 2021 (a 104.8% increase in the population share of cases).

Figure STM-12: Foreign to U.S. Trafficking: China (N = 100)



Note: This category is not mutually exclusive.

See Table STM-20 in Appendix STM – Source-to-Market Type for source-country trends in foreign to U.S. trafficking in the study period.

Summary

SAs provided information on source-to-market type in nearly 76% (7,350 of 9,708) of firearm trafficking cases. More than half (55.9%; 4,108 of 7,350) of these cases involved intrastate trafficking. Furthermore, intrastate trafficking was the most prevalent source-to-market type across regions, apart from ATF Headquarters and the Northeast region. SAs in ATF Headquarters predominately investigated cases involving U.S. to foreign trafficking, while SAs in the Northeast region predominantly investigated cases involving interstate trafficking. Texas and Arizona accounted for the largest share of intrastate cases. The percentage of intrastate trafficking cases involving Arizona increased in the study period 8.2 percentage points, which was the largest increase observed in the study period for the top ten states involved in intrastate trafficking.

Approximately 32% (2,358 of 7,350) of firearm trafficking cases involved interstate trafficking. With respect to source-states, Georgia accounted for the largest percentage of cases, followed by Arizona. The percentage of interstate trafficking cases that involved Arizona as a source-state grew by a factor of ten in the study period. With respect to market-states, New York accounted for the largest percentage of cases, followed by California. However, interstate trafficking cases involving New York as a market-state decreased in the study period by 3.8 percentage point, while the percentage of interstate trafficking cases involving California as a market-state increased by 13.3 percentage points. By far, the most dominant interstate firearm trafficking pipeline was Arizona-to-California, which increased by 15.1 percentage points in the study period.

Approximately 20% (1,503 of 7,350) of firearm trafficking cases involved international firearm trafficking. Furthermore, international firearm trafficking cases (93.9%; 1,411 of 1,503) predominately involved U.S. to foreign trafficking. With respect to source-states, Texas accounted for the largest percentage of cases, followed by Arizona. Cases involving Texas as the source-state increased by 12.1 percentage points and cases involving Arizona increased by 8.8 percentage points. While Florida was

among the top five source-states involved in U.S. to foreign trafficking, its involvement in U.S. to foreign trafficking markedly decreased in the study period. By far, Mexico was the most prevalent market-country involved in U.S. to foreign trafficking, accounting for approximately 72% (1,011 of 1,411) of cases. Furthermore, Mexico was represented in three of the top five U.S. to foreign trafficking pipelines, with the Texas-to-Mexico pipeline accounting for nearly 40% (558 of 1,411) of U.S. to foreign trafficking cases. Accounting for over half of foreign to U.S. trafficking cases, the most prevalent source country involved in foreign to U.S. trafficking was China (52 of 100), which increased by nearly 19.7 percentage points in the study period.

APPENDIX STM – SOURCE- TO-MARKET TYPE

Table STM-04: Total Cases for ATF Field Divisions by Source-to-Market Types, 2017 – 2021

ATF Field Division	Intrastate	Interstate	U.S. to Foreign	Foreign to U.S.	Total Cases
Atlanta	99	68	34	3	192
Baltimore	142	124	4	1	254
Boston	176	231	14	5	395
Charlotte	109	50	10	2	157
Chicago	347	221	12	2	550
Columbus	210	79	22	5	278
Dallas	210	42	180	3	404
Denver	104	32	7	2	135
Detroit	133	20	11	4	162
Houston	303	23	410	7	653
International Affairs Division	0	1	82	0	82
Kansas City	219	55	11	7	267
Los Angeles	97	71	85	3	235
Louisville	176	52	4	4	219
Miami	83	35	120	9	237
Nashville	224	48	8	2	256
National Investigative Division	1	0	0	0	1
New Orleans	122	40	10	1	158
New York	64	435	7	7	504
Newark	15	87	2	0	101
Operational Intelligence Division	1	3	0	3	7
Philadelphia	245	86	8	1	318
Phoenix	375	229	275	1	778
San Francisco	175	90	19	5	253
Seattle	63	25	12	8	101
St. Paul	109	15	1	0	119
Tampa	161	47	54	15	254
Washington	145	149	9	0	280

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table STM-07: Intrastate Trafficking: U.S. States and Territories

State/Territory	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Cases
Alabama	47	34	35	13	9	138
Alaska	1	1	1	1	0	4
Arizona	53	68	60	90	106	377
Arkansas	2	2	5	10	2	21
California	63	53	23	34	41	214
Colorado	13	10	5	11	12	51
Connecticut	7	3	5	1	6	22
Delaware	24	22	19	6	10	81
District of Columbia	5	0	3	1	2	11
Florida	63	52	50	44	36	245
Georgia	35	25	17	14	27	118
Guam	0	1	0	1	0	2
Hawaii	0	1	0	0	0	1
Idaho	2	3	4	3	1	13
Illinois	46	28	28	40	44	186
Indiana	27	28	19	14	31	119
Iowa	12	12	7	12	8	51
Kansas	6	6	8	10	9	39
Kentucky	24	26	17	16	21	104
Louisiana	16	16	9	16	13	70
Maine	11	2	6	5	5	29
Maryland	16	21	19	8	11	75
Massachusetts	12	17	3	6	10	48
Michigan	25	21	37	25	31	139
Minnesota	11	15	6	14	7	53
Mississippi	8	11	7	7	8	41
Missouri	24	20	26	24	20	114
Montana	13	13	7	6	7	46
N. Mariana Islands	0	0	0	1	0	1
Nebraska	3	1	2	1	5	12
Nevada	17	14	18	12	20	81
New Hampshire	9	7	7	8	2	33
New Jersey	4	8	2	4	2	20
New Mexico	4	12	4	3	4	27
New York	13	22	19	12	5	71
North Carolina	21	14	17	18	9	79
North Dakota	8	2	0	1	2	13
Ohio	27	38	21	35	58	179
Oklahoma	4	6	5	7	2	24
Oregon	2	3	5	4	4	18
Pennsylvania	57	54	53	47	46	257
Puerto Rico	0	3	2	2	1	8
Rhode Island	6	8	3	4	4	25
South Carolina	10	11	6	6	3	36
South Dakota	7	4	2	6	3	22
Tennessee	23	24	16	19	13	95
Texas	118	93	107	93	88	499
Utah	1	1	1	2	0	5
Vermont	11	6	5	8	6	36
Virginia	31	25	37	22	33	148
Washington	11	8	5	4	2	30
West Virginia	31	23	15	8	8	85
Wisconsin	21	27	30	28	38	144
Wyoming	2	1	0	2	0	5

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table STM-09: Interstate Trafficking: Source-states and Territories

Source-state/Territory	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Cases
Alabama	17	13	10	7	3	50
Alaska	0	0	0	0	2	2
Arizona	39	50	26	60	91	266
Arkansas	2	3	1	6	4	16
California	6	4	3	1	3	17
Colorado	5	3	2	2	2	14
Connecticut	3	1	1	1	2	8
Delaware	5	7	4	3	6	25
District of Columbia	0	0	3	0	0	3
Florida	39	34	23	16	11	123
Georgia	60	89	49	25	53	276
Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	2	0	1	5	1	9
Illinois	5	5	3	2	6	21
Indiana	29	20	28	37	46	160
Iowa	7	3	2	5	3	20
Kansas	2	1	4	1	3	11
Kentucky	5	10	3	7	4	29
Louisiana	5	2	1	6	2	16
Maine	15	17	11	11	6	60
Maryland	5	3	8	2	3	21
Massachusetts	5	1	0	2	0	8
Michigan	8	0	7	0	3	18
Minnesota	2	3	1	0	1	7
Mississippi	7	5	8	12	10	42
Missouri	10	5	4	11	7	37
Montana	3	0	0	3	1	7
N. Mariana Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nevada	21	24	21	5	16	87
New Hampshire	22	18	14	8	5	67
New Jersey	2	5	1	0	0	8
New Mexico	0	7	4	2	0	13
New York	5	0	1	1	1	8
North Carolina	17	30	29	14	13	103
North Dakota	2	0	3	1	1	7
Ohio	26	38	18	23	23	128
Oklahoma	5	4	3	4	0	16
Oregon	5	3	3	2	2	15
Pennsylvania	26	38	22	16	12	114
Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rhode Island	1	2	1	0	0	4
South Carolina	21	24	15	18	13	91
South Dakota	6	1	0	3	1	11
Tennessee	13	13	10	4	10	50
Texas	12	16	17	15	15	75
Unknown	3	3	1	1	0	8
Utah	2	2	2	1	1	8
Vermont	15	12	11	6	3	47
Virginia	54	82	51	35	24	246
Washington	1	0	3	2	1	7
West Virginia	19	16	7	13	12	67
Wisconsin	4	6	2	1	3	16
Wyoming	2	2	0	1	0	5

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table STM-11: Interstate Trafficking: Market-states and Territories

Market-state/Territory	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Cases
Alabama	4	7	3	4	4	22
Alaska	0	0	0	1	0	1
Arizona	2	4	3	6	6	21
Arkansas	3	1	1	1	1	7
California	77	93	57	79	116	422
Colorado	4	3	3	1	2	13
Connecticut	6	8	6	3	9	32
Delaware	1	5	0	4	6	16
District of Columbia	22	28	34	15	17	116
Florida	9	6	2	4	14	35
Georgia	5	5	8	7	6	31
Guam	1	0	0	0	0	1
Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	0	1	1	1	0	3
Illinois	57	52	39	55	76	279
Indiana	6	3	2	1	6	18
Iowa	1	1	0	3	1	6
Kansas	1	0	1	1	2	5
Kentucky	6	5	2	2	3	18
Louisiana	2	2	0	5	4	13
Maine	0	0	0	0	1	1
Maryland	44	45	39	26	29	183
Massachusetts	54	40	36	32	18	180
Michigan	7	5	7	5	9	33
Minnesota	5	4	1	2	5	17
Mississippi	4	0	0	3	1	8
Missouri	7	1	7	6	3	24
Montana	0	0	5	0	0	5
Multiple (Internet)	0	0	1	0	0	1
N. Mariana Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	1	2	3	2	1	9
Nevada	3	5	0	6	2	16
New Hampshire	3	3	0	0	0	6
New Jersey	51	50	19	23	13	156
New Mexico	0	3	2	2	1	8
New York	101	196	122	73	63	555
North Carolina	5	5	3	9	4	26
North Dakota	0	0	3	0	0	3
N. Marianas Islands	0	1	0	0	0	1
Ohio	7	5	7	5	4	28
Oklahoma	1	1	3	1	1	7
Oregon	1	0	2	2	0	5
Pennsylvania	11	19	15	11	18	74
Puerto Rico	15	9	12	3	2	41
Rhode Island	4	3	1	2	1	11
South Carolina	2	1	3	4	1	11
South Dakota	1	0	2	1	0	4
Tennessee	6	4	5	0	3	18
Texas	10	8	12	11	9	50
U.S. Virgin Islands	5	2	1	4	0	12
Unknown	0	2	0	1	0	3
Utah	1	0	1	0	0	2
Vermont	6	1	1	0	0	8
Virginia	2	4	4	2	1	13
Washington	4	2	2	2	1	11
West Virginia	6	0	1	1	2	10
Wisconsin	3	1	3	3	5	15
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table STM-13: Interstate Trafficking: Pipelines

Pipeline	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Cases
Alaska to Arizona	0	0	0	0	1	1
Alaska to California	0	0	0	0	1	1
Alabama to Arkansas	1	0	0	0	0	1
Alabama to California	2	0	0	0	0	2
Alabama to Connecticut	1	0	0	0	0	1
Alabama to District of Columbia	1	0	1	0	0	2
Alabama to Florida	1	0	0	1	0	2
Alabama to Georgia	1	1	2	1	1	6
Alabama to Illinois	2	0	1	0	2	5
Alabama to Kentucky	1	0	0	0	0	1
Alabama to Louisiana	0	0	0	1	0	1
Alabama to Massachusetts	0	1	2	0	0	3
Alabama to Maryland	2	1	2	0	0	5
Alabama to Mississippi	1	0	0	0	0	1
Alabama to New Jersey	1	0	0	2	0	3
Alabama to New York	6	10	2	3	0	21
Alabama to Oklahoma	0	0	0	1	0	1
Alabama to Pennsylvania	0	0	1	0	0	1
Alabama to Puerto Rico	1	0	0	0	0	1
Alabama to Tennessee	1	0	1	0	0	2
Arkansas to Alabama	1	1	0	0	0	2
Arkansas to California	0	2	0	2	1	5
Arkansas to Illinois	1	2	0	0	2	5
Arkansas to Louisiana	0	0	0	1	0	1
Arkansas to Minnesota	0	1	0	0	0	1
Arkansas to Missouri	0	0	1	0	0	1
Arkansas to Mississippi	0	0	0	1	0	1
Arkansas to New York	0	0	0	0	1	1
Arkansas to Tennessee	1	1	0	0	0	2
Arkansas to Texas	0	0	0	2	1	3
Arizona to California	33	47	24	52	89	245
Arizona to Colorado	0	0	0	0	2	2
Arizona to District of Columbia	0	0	0	0	1	1
Arizona to Idaho	0	0	0	1	0	1
Arizona to Illinois	1	1	1	3	2	8
Arizona to Massachusetts	0	0	1	0	0	1
Arizona to Minnesota	0	1	0	0	0	1
Arizona to Missouri	1	0	0	1	0	2
Arizona to Nebraska	0	0	0	0	1	1
Arizona to Nevada	1	1	0	4	2	8
Arizona to New York	2	0	0	0	0	2
Arizona to Oregon	1	0	0	1	0	2
Arizona to Texas	0	2	1	2	0	5
Arizona to Utah	1	0	0	0	0	1
California to Arizona	0	1	0	0	1	2
California to Florida	0	1	0	0	1	2
California to Guam	1	0	0	0	0	1
California to Illinois	1	0	0	0	0	1
California to Missouri	0	0	2	1	1	4
California to Nevada	1	0	0	0	0	1
California to New York	1	1	0	0	0	2
California to Puerto Rico	1	0	0	0	0	1
California to Texas	1	0	1	0	0	2
California to Washington	0	1	0	0	0	1
Colorado to Arizona	0	1	0	0	0	1
Colorado to California	2	0	0	1	1	4
Colorado to Illinois	0	2	0	0	0	2
Colorado to Minnesota	0	1	0	0	0	1
Colorado to Missouri	1	0	0	0	0	1
Colorado to North Carolina	1	0	0	0	0	1
Colorado to New Mexico	0	1	0	1	1	3
Colorado to Nevada	1	0	0	0	0	1
Colorado to New York	1	1	1	0	0	3
Colorado to Oklahoma	0	1	0	0	0	1
Colorado to Texas	0	0	1	0	0	1
Colorado to Wisconsin	0	1	0	0	0	1
Connecticut to California	0	0	0	1	0	1

Connecticut to Kentucky	1	0	0	0	0	1
Connecticut to Massachusetts	0	0	1	0	0	1
Connecticut to New Mexico	0	1	0	0	0	1
Connecticut to New York	1	0	0	0	2	3
Connecticut to Vermont	1	0	0	0	0	1
District of Columbia to Maryland	0	0	2	0	0	2
District of Columbia to Tennessee	0	0	1	0	0	1
Delaware to Maryland	2	1	1	1	0	5
Delaware to New Jersey	0	5	1	1	2	9
Delaware to New York	1	0	2	1	1	5
Delaware to Pennsylvania	2	1	1	0	3	7
Florida to Arizona	0	0	0	1	0	1
Florida to California	0	2	1	1	0	4
Florida to Georgia	1	0	1	2	0	4
Florida to Iowa	0	1	0	0	0	1
Florida to Indiana	1	1	0	0	0	2
Florida to Kentucky	0	1	0	0	1	2
Florida to Massachusetts	2	2	2	2	3	11
Florida to Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
Florida to North Carolina	0	0	0	0	1	1
Florida to New Jersey	4	2	0	1	2	9
Florida to New York	10	12	7	8	3	40
Florida to Ohio	0	1	1	0	1	3
Florida to Pennsylvania	1	1	0	0	1	3
Florida to Puerto Rico	13	9	8	2	1	33
Florida to Rhode Island	1	2	0	0	0	3
Florida to South Carolina	0	0	0	0	1	1
Florida to Tennessee	0	0	0	0	1	1
Florida to Texas	1	1	1	0	1	4
Florida to Virginia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Florida to U.S. Virgin Islands	2	1	1	1	0	5
Florida to Vermont	2	0	0	0	0	2
Georgia to Alabama	1	4	2	0	0	7
Georgia to California	2	2	1	1	1	7
Georgia to Connecticut	1	1	0	0	1	3
Georgia to District of Columbia	0	1	1	0	3	5
Georgia to Delaware	0	0	0	2	1	3
Georgia to Florida	3	1	0	0	9	13
Georgia to Illinois	3	5	0	2	5	15
Georgia to Indiana	1	0	0	0	0	1
Georgia to Louisiana	0	1	0	0	1	2
Georgia to Massachusetts	2	4	1	1	4	12
Georgia to Maryland	6	5	4	2	7	24
Georgia to Minnesota	0	0	0	0	2	2
Georgia to Montana	0	0	1	0	0	1
Georgia to North Carolina	0	0	0	1	0	1
Georgia to North Dakota	0	0	1	0	0	1
Georgia to New Jersey	12	11	3	3	3	32
Georgia to Nevada	0	1	0	1	0	2
Georgia to New York	27	51	28	7	19	132
Georgia to Ohio	0	1	0	1	0	2
Georgia to Oklahoma	0	0	2	0	0	2
Georgia to Pennsylvania	1	2	2	3	3	11
Georgia to Rhode Island	1	0	0	0	1	2
Georgia to South Carolina	0	0	0	1	0	1
Georgia to Tennessee	0	1	1	0	1	3
Georgia to Texas	0	0	1	0	1	2
Georgia to Virginia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Georgia to U.S. Virgin Islands	0	1	0	2	0	3
Iowa to Arizona	0	1	0	0	0	1
Iowa to California	0	0	0	2	0	2
Iowa to Kansas	0	0	0	0	1	1
Iowa to Minnesota	1	0	0	1	0	2
Iowa to Missouri	1	0	0	0	0	1
Iowa to Nebraska	1	0	1	2	0	4
Iowa to South Dakota	0	0	0	1	0	1
Idaho to Arizona	0	0	0	0	1	1
Idaho to California	0	0	0	2	1	3
Idaho to Florida	1	0	0	0	0	1
Idaho to Illinois	1	0	0	0	0	1

Idaho to Montana	0	0	1	0	0	1
Idaho to North Carolina	0	0	0	1	0	1
Idaho to North Dakota	0	0	1	0	0	1
Idaho to Nevada	0	0	0	1	0	1
Idaho to Oregon	0	0	1	1	0	2
Idaho to South Dakota	0	0	1	0	0	1
Idaho to Unknown	0	0	0	1	0	1
Idaho to Virginia	0	0	0	1	0	1
Idaho to Washington	0	0	1	0	0	1
Illinois to Florida	0	1	0	0	0	1
Illinois to Indiana	2	1	1	1	3	8
Illinois to Maryland	0	1	0	0	0	1
Illinois to Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
Illinois to Missouri	1	1	2	1	1	6
Illinois to New York	0	1	0	0	0	1
Illinois to Texas	1	0	0	0	0	1
Illinois to Unknown	0	1	0	0	0	1
Illinois to Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	2	2
Indiana to Alabama	0	0	1	1	0	2
Indiana to Delaware	0	0	0	0	1	1
Indiana to Florida	0	0	0	0	1	1
Indiana to Iowa	0	0	0	1	0	1
Indiana to Illinois	24	16	24	31	39	134
Indiana to Kentucky	0	0	1	0	1	2
Indiana to Massachusetts	3	0	1	0	0	4
Indiana to Maryland	0	0	0	0	1	1
Indiana to Michigan	0	1	1	3	2	7
Indiana to Mississippi	0	0	0	0	1	1
Indiana to New York	1	2	0	1	1	5
Indiana to Ohio	0	0	2	0	1	3
Indiana to Pennsylvania	0	0	1	1	0	2
Indiana to Texas	0	0	0	0	1	1
Indiana to U.S. Virgin Islands	0	1	0	0	0	1
Indiana to Washington	1	0	0	0	0	1
Kansas to Alabama	0	0	0	0	1	1
Kansas to California	1	0	0	0	0	1
Kansas to Iowa	0	0	0	0	1	1
Kansas to Illinois	0	0	1	0	1	2
Kansas to Maryland	0	0	0	0	2	2
Kansas to Missouri	1	0	2	1	0	4
Kansas to Nebraska	0	0	2	0	0	2
Kansas to New York	0	1	0	0	0	1
Kansas to Ohio	0	0	1	0	0	1
Kansas to Oklahoma	0	0	1	0	0	1
Kansas to Pennsylvania	0	0	0	0	1	1
Kansas to Texas	0	0	1	0	0	1
Kansas to Virginia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Kansas to Wisconsin	0	0	1	0	0	1
Kentucky to California	0	0	0	1	0	1
Kentucky to District of Columbia	0	1	0	0	0	1
Kentucky to Illinois	1	2	0	2	1	6
Kentucky to Maryland	0	1	1	1	0	3
Kentucky to Minnesota	1	0	0	0	1	2
Kentucky to New Jersey	0	1	0	0	0	1
Kentucky to New York	1	4	1	2	1	9
Kentucky to Ohio	2	2	0	1	0	5
Kentucky to Texas	0	0	0	0	1	1
Kentucky to Washington	0	0	1	0	0	1
Louisiana to Alabama	0	0	0	1	0	1
Louisiana to Arkansas	0	0	0	1	0	1
Louisiana to Arizona	0	0	0	1	0	1
Louisiana to California	0	0	0	1	0	1
Louisiana to Florida	0	0	0	1	0	1
Louisiana to Maryland	0	1	0	0	0	1
Louisiana to Mississippi	1	0	0	2	0	3
Louisiana to New Jersey	0	1	0	0	0	1
Louisiana to New York	2	0	0	0	1	3
Louisiana to Pennsylvania	0	0	0	1	0	1
Louisiana to Texas	2	0	1	2	1	6
Massachusetts to California	1	1	0	0	0	2

Massachusetts to Connecticut	1	0	0	0	0	1
Massachusetts to Delaware	1	0	0	0	0	1
Massachusetts to Maryland	1	0	0	0	0	1
Massachusetts to Rhode Island	1	0	0	2	0	3
Maryland to California	2	0	0	0	0	2
Maryland to District of Columbia	2	1	5	1	1	10
Maryland to Delaware	0	1	0	1	0	2
Maryland to Georgia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Maryland to Michigan	0	0	1	0	0	1
Maryland to New York	0	0	0	0	1	1
Maryland to Pennsylvania	0	1	0	0	0	1
Maryland to South Carolina	0	0	1	0	0	1
Maryland to Texas	0	0	0	0	1	1
Maryland to Virginia	1	0	0	0	1	2
Maine to Connecticut	0	1	0	0	0	1
Maine to Delaware	0	0	0	0	1	1
Maine to Florida	1	0	0	1	0	2
Maine to Massachusetts	10	10	6	6	4	36
Maine to New Hampshire	0	1	0	0	0	1
Maine to New York	4	5	4	5	1	19
Maine to Pennsylvania	0	0	1	0	0	1
Maine to Rhode Island	1	0	1	0	0	2
Michigan to Florida	0	0	1	0	0	1
Michigan to Georgia	1	0	0	0	0	1
Michigan to Illinois	3	0	1	0	1	5
Michigan to Kentucky	0	0	1	0	0	1
Michigan to Massachusetts	0	0	1	0	0	1
Michigan to Maryland	0	0	0	0	1	1
Michigan to New Jersey	1	0	0	0	0	1
Michigan to New York	0	0	1	0	0	1
Michigan to Tennessee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Michigan to Texas	0	0	1	0	0	1
Michigan to Wisconsin	2	0	1	0	1	4
Minnesota to California	1	1	0	0	0	2
Minnesota to Delaware	0	0	0	0	1	1
Minnesota to Illinois	0	2	0	0	0	2
Minnesota to Missouri	1	0	0	0	0	1
Minnesota to Texas	0	0	1	0	0	1
Missouri to Arizona	0	0	1	0	0	1
Missouri to California	1	1	0	0	2	4
Missouri to Iowa	1	0	0	1	0	2
Missouri to Illinois	4	4	2	6	2	18
Missouri to Kansas	1	0	0	0	1	2
Missouri to Maryland	1	0	1	0	0	2
Missouri to North Carolina	1	0	0	0	0	1
Missouri to New Jersey	0	0	0	1	0	1
Missouri to New York	1	0	0	1	0	2
Missouri to Tennessee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Missouri to Texas	1	0	0	0	1	2
Missouri to Wisconsin	0	0	0	2	1	3
Mississippi to District of Columbia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mississippi to Georgia	0	1	1	0	1	3
Mississippi to Illinois	3	2	1	4	5	15
Mississippi to Louisiana	0	1	0	1	3	5
Mississippi to Massachusetts	1	0	0	1	0	2
Mississippi to Maryland	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mississippi to Minnesota	0	0	0	1	0	1
Mississippi to North Carolina	0	1	0	0	0	1
Mississippi to New Jersey	0	1	0	0	0	1
Mississippi to New York	1	2	3	2	0	8
Mississippi to Ohio	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mississippi to Oklahoma	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mississippi to Pennsylvania	0	1	0	0	0	1
Mississippi to South Carolina	0	0	0	2	0	2
Mississippi to Tennessee	1	1	0	0	1	3
Mississippi to Texas	1	0	3	2	0	6
Mississippi to Wisconsin	0	0	0	1	0	1
Montana to Arizona	0	0	0	1	0	1
Montana to California	0	0	0	1	1	2
Montana to Colorado	1	0	0	0	0	1

Montana to Washington	2	0	0	1	0	3
North Carolina to Alabama	0	1	0	0	0	1
North Carolina to California	0	0	0	0	2	2
North Carolina to Connecticut	0	0	2	1	3	6
North Carolina to District of Columbia	1	1	2	1	0	5
North Carolina to Florida	0	1	0	0	0	1
North Carolina to Georgia	0	1	0	0	2	3
North Carolina to Illinois	0	0	1	0	0	1
North Carolina to Massachusetts	2	0	1	2	2	7
North Carolina to Maryland	4	4	3	2	1	14
North Carolina to Michigan	0	0	0	0	1	1
North Carolina to New Jersey	2	6	1	1	1	11
North Carolina to New York	6	15	17	6	5	49
North Carolina to Pennsylvania	0	1	3	1	0	5
North Carolina to Rhode Island	1	0	0	0	0	1
North Carolina to South Carolina	1	1	2	1	0	5
North Carolina to Texas	0	1	0	0	1	2
North Carolina to Virginia	1	1	0	0	0	2
North Dakota to Arkansas	1	0	0	0	0	1
North Dakota to Arizona	0	0	0	1	0	1
North Dakota to Connecticut	0	0	1	0	0	1
North Dakota to Minnesota	0	0	1	0	1	2
North Dakota to Mississippi	1	0	0	0	0	1
North Dakota to Montana	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nebraska to Colorado	0	0	1	0	0	1
New Hampshire to Connecticut	0	2	0	0	0	2
New Hampshire to Massachusetts	21	15	11	7	4	58
New Hampshire to Maryland	0	0	1	0	0	1
New Hampshire to Maine	0	0	0	0	1	1
New Hampshire to North Carolina	0	0	1	0	0	1
New Hampshire to New Jersey	0	0	1	0	0	1
New Hampshire to New York	0	0	1	1	0	2
New Hampshire to Rhode Island	0	1	0	0	0	1
New Hampshire to Vermont	1	0	0	0	0	1
New Jersey to Delaware	0	1	0	0	0	1
New Jersey to Maryland	1	2	0	0	0	3
New Jersey to North Carolina	0	0	1	0	0	1
New Jersey to Ohio	0	0	1	0	0	1
New Jersey to Pennsylvania	1	2	1	0	0	4
New Mexico to Arizona	0	1	0	1	0	2
New Mexico to California	0	4	2	1	0	7
New Mexico to New York	0	0	1	0	0	1
New Mexico to Texas	0	2	1	0	0	3
Nevada to Arizona	1	0	2	1	1	5
Nevada to California	19	21	20	5	13	78
Nevada to Connecticut	0	1	0	0	0	1
Nevada to Illinois	0	0	1	0	1	2
Nevada to Indiana	0	0	0	0	1	1
Nevada to Kansas	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nevada to Kentucky	1	0	0	0	0	1
Nevada to New Mexico	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nevada to New York	0	1	0	0	0	1
Nevada to Ohio	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nevada to Tennessee	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nevada to Utah	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nevada to Virginia	0	1	0	0	0	1
New York to Massachusetts	2	0	0	0	0	2
New York to Pennsylvania	2	0	0	0	1	3
New York to Puerto Rico	1	0	0	1	0	2
New York to Vermont	1	0	1	0	0	2
Ohio to Alabama	0	0	0	1	0	1
Ohio to Arizona	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ohio to California	2	2	0	1	1	6
Ohio to Connecticut	0	1	0	0	0	1
Ohio to District of Columbia	1	2	0	0	0	3
Ohio to Florida	0	1	0	0	0	1
Ohio to Georgia	0	0	0	0	1	1
Ohio to Illinois	2	4	0	3	5	14
Ohio to Indiana	1	1	0	0	2	4
Ohio to Kentucky	1	2	0	0	1	4

Ohio to Massachusetts	1	1	0	3	0	5
Ohio to Maryland	2	4	0	1	1	8
Ohio to Michigan	1	3	1	2	2	9
Ohio to Missouri	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ohio to New Jersey	2	1	1	1	0	5
Ohio to New York	5	22	14	10	8	59
Ohio to Pennsylvania	0	1	0	1	4	6
Ohio to South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ohio to Tennessee	0	0	1	0	0	1
Ohio to Texas	0	0	1	1	0	2
Ohio to Vermont	0	1	0	0	0	1
Ohio to West Virginia	6	0	0	1	1	8
Oklahoma to Alabama	1	0	0	1	0	2
Oklahoma to Arkansas	0	1	1	0	0	2
Oklahoma to California	1	1	2	0	0	4
Oklahoma to Georgia	0	0	0	1	0	1
Oklahoma to Minnesota	1	0	0	0	0	1
Oklahoma to New York	0	0	0	1	0	1
Oklahoma to Texas	2	2	0	1	0	5
Oklahoma to U.S. Virgin Islands	0	0	0	1	0	1
Oregon to Arizona	0	0	0	0	1	1
Oregon to California	4	2	3	0	1	10
Oregon to Florida	0	0	0	1	0	1
Oregon to Texas	1	0	0	0	0	1
Oregon to Washington	0	1	0	1	1	3
Pennsylvania to District of Columbia	0	1	1	0	0	2
Pennsylvania to Delaware	0	1	0	1	1	3
Pennsylvania to Florida	0	0	0	0	2	2
Pennsylvania to Georgia	0	1	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to Illinois	1	0	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to Kentucky	1	0	0	1	0	2
Pennsylvania to Louisiana	1	0	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to Massachusetts	0	1	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to Maryland	2	5	1	2	0	10
Pennsylvania to New Jersey	9	10	6	7	1	33
Pennsylvania to New York	10	19	13	4	8	54
Pennsylvania to Ohio	1	0	0	1	0	2
Pennsylvania to Puerto Rico	0	0	1	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to Rhode Island	0	1	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to Vermont	1	0	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to West Virginia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Rhode Island to Connecticut	0	0	1	0	0	1
Rhode Island to Illinois	0	1	0	0	0	1
Rhode Island to Massachusetts	1	1	0	0	0	2
Rhode Island to New York	0	1	0	0	0	1
South Carolina to Alabama	0	0	0	1	0	1
South Carolina to California	0	0	0	1	0	1
South Carolina to Colorado	0	0	2	0	0	2
South Carolina to Connecticut	1	0	1	1	1	4
South Carolina to District of Columbia	0	1	0	0	2	3
South Carolina to Georgia	2	0	1	0	0	3
South Carolina to Massachusetts	4	1	0	3	1	9
South Carolina to Maryland	2	0	1	1	2	6
South Carolina to North Carolina	1	1	0	2	1	5
South Carolina to Nebraska	0	1	0	0	0	1
South Carolina to New Jersey	3	0	1	0	0	4
South Carolina to New Mexico	0	1	0	0	0	1
South Carolina to New York	9	16	11	9	5	50
South Carolina to Pennsylvania	0	1	0	0	2	3
South Carolina to Rhode Island	0	1	0	0	0	1
South Carolina to Texas	0	0	0	1	0	1
South Carolina to Unknown	0	1	0	0	0	1
South Carolina to U.S. Virgin Islands	2	0	0	0	0	2
South Dakota to California	1	0	0	0	0	1
South Dakota to Colorado	0	1	0	1	0	2
South Dakota to Connecticut	1	0	0	0	0	1
South Dakota to Iowa	0	0	0	1	0	1
South Dakota to Illinois	0	0	0	1	0	1
South Dakota to Indiana	1	0	0	0	0	1
South Dakota to Minnesota	2	0	0	0	1	3

South Dakota to Nebraska	0	0	0	1	0	1
South Dakota to Wisconsin	1	0	0	0	0	1
Tennessee to Alabama	0	1	0	0	0	1
Tennessee to California	1	2	0	1	0	4
Tennessee to Connecticut	0	0	0	0	1	1
Tennessee to Florida	1	0	1	0	0	2
Tennessee to Georgia	0	1	1	0	1	3
Tennessee to Illinois	1	3	1	0	3	8
Tennessee to Kentucky	3	1	0	1	0	5
Tennessee to Massachusetts	1	0	1	0	0	2
Tennessee to Maryland	0	1	0	0	0	1
Tennessee to Michigan	2	0	2	0	1	5
Tennessee to Mississippi	1	0	0	0	0	1
Tennessee to New Jersey	3	1	1	0	1	6
Tennessee to New York	1	2	3	1	3	10
Tennessee to Pennsylvania	0	1	0	0	0	1
Tennessee to Rhode Island	0	1	0	0	0	1
Tennessee to Virginia	0	1	0	1	0	2
Tennessee to Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	1	1
Texas to Alabama	1	0	0	0	0	1
Texas to Arkansas	1	0	0	0	1	2
Texas to California	4	5	1	4	4	18
Texas to Colorado	0	1	0	0	0	1
Texas to Connecticut	0	0	0	0	1	1
Texas to District of Columbia	1	0	1	0	0	2
Texas to Florida	1	0	0	0	0	1
Texas to Georgia	0	0	0	1	0	1
Texas to Illinois	1	1	2	1	3	8
Texas to Kansas	0	0	0	1	0	1
Texas to Louisiana	1	0	0	3	0	4
Texas to Massachusetts	0	0	0	2	0	2
Texas to Maryland	0	1	1	0	0	2
Texas to Michigan	0	0	1	0	1	2
Texas to Missouri	0	0	0	2	0	2
Texas to Northern Marianas Islands	0	1	0	0	0	1
Texas to Multiple (Internet)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Texas to North Dakota	0	0	1	0	0	1
Texas to New Jersey	0	1	0	0	0	1
Texas to New Mexico	0	0	1	1	0	2
Texas to Nevada	0	1	0	0	0	1
Texas to New York	1	3	3	3	2	12
Texas to Ohio	0	0	0	0	1	1
Texas to Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	1	1
Texas to Pennsylvania	1	0	1	0	0	2
Texas to Puerto Rico	1	1	3	0	1	6
Texas to South Carolina	1	0	0	0	0	1
Texas to Tennessee	0	1	0	0	0	1
Texas to U.S. Virgin Islands	1	0	0	0	0	1
Texas to Washington	1	0	0	0	0	1
Texas to Wisconsin	0	0	1	0	0	1
Unknown to Connecticut	0	1	0	0	0	1
Unknown to Massachusetts	1	0	0	0	0	1
Unknown to New Jersey	2	2	0	1	0	5
Unknown to New York	0	0	1	0	0	1
Utah to Alaska	0	0	0	1	0	1
Utah to California	1	1	2	0	1	5
Utah to Colorado	1	0	0	0	0	1
Utah to Idaho	0	1	0	0	0	1
Utah to Nevada	0	1	0	0	0	1
Virginia to California	0	1	0	0	0	1
Virginia to Connecticut	0	0	0	0	2	2
Virginia to District of Columbia	15	19	21	9	7	71
Virginia to Delaware	0	2	0	1	1	4
Virginia to Florida	1	0	0	0	1	2
Virginia to Georgia	0	0	1	2	0	3
Virginia to Illinois	0	0	0	0	1	1
Virginia to Kentucky	0	1	0	0	0	1
Virginia to Massachusetts	1	1	0	2	0	4
Virginia to Maryland	17	17	20	12	8	74
Virginia to North Carolina	2	3	1	3	2	11

Virginia to New Jersey	11	8	3	4	3	29
Virginia to Nevada	0	1	0	0	0	1
Virginia to New York	13	32	12	8	2	67
Virginia to Pennsylvania	0	3	2	5	0	10
Virginia to Rhode Island	1	0	0	0	0	1
Virginia to West Virginia	0	0	0	0	1	1
Vermont to Connecticut	2	2	1	1	0	6
Vermont to Massachusetts	4	4	8	4	1	21
Vermont to Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
Vermont to New Hampshire	3	2	0	0	0	5
Vermont to New Jersey	1	1	0	0	0	2
Vermont to New York	6	4	1	1	1	13
Vermont to Pennsylvania	1	1	1	0	1	4
Washington to Arizona	0	0	0	1	1	2
Washington to California	0	0	1	1	0	2
Washington to Idaho	0	0	1	0	0	1
Washington to Montana	0	0	2	0	0	2
Washington to North Dakota	0	0	1	0	0	1
Washington to Oregon	0	0	1	0	0	1
Washington to South Dakota	0	0	1	0	0	1
Washington to Texas	1	0	0	0	0	1
Wisconsin to Illinois	4	5	2	1	1	13
Wisconsin to Indiana	0	0	1	0	0	1
Wisconsin to Michigan	0	0	0	0	1	1
Wisconsin to Minnesota	0	1	0	0	0	1
Wisconsin to Missouri	0	0	0	0	1	1
West Virginia to District of Columbia	1	1	1	4	3	10
West Virginia to Florida	0	1	0	0	0	1
West Virginia to Massachusetts	0	0	0	1	0	1
West Virginia to Maryland	6	5	1	4	5	21
West Virginia to Michigan	1	1	1	0	1	4
West Virginia to North Carolina	0	0	0	2	0	2
West Virginia to New Jersey	1	2	1	1	0	5
West Virginia to New York	4	4	1	1	1	11
West Virginia to Ohio	4	1	1	2	0	8
West Virginia to Pennsylvania	2	3	1	0	3	9
West Virginia to Rhode Island	0	1	0	0	0	1
West Virginia to Tennessee	1	0	0	0	0	1
West Virginia to Virginia	0	1	1	0	0	2
Wyoming to Arizona	0	0	0	1	0	1
Wyoming to Colorado	2	1	0	0	0	3
Wyoming to Nebraska	0	1	0	0	0	1

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table STM-15: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Source-states and Territories

Source-state/Territory	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Cases
Alabama	1	0	3	1	1	6
Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arizona	75	68	65	73	74	355
Arkansas	0	1	0	1	3	5
California	10	12	7	2	1	32
Colorado	1	1	1	3	1	7
Connecticut	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	1	1	0	0	0	2
District of Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Florida	83	34	30	26	21	194
Georgia	3	15	12	2	2	34
Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	1	1	0	0	0	2
Illinois	1	1	5	1	2	10
Indiana	2	2	0	0	1	5
Iowa	0	0	0	1	0	1
Kansas	0	0	1	1	2	4
Kentucky	2	1	2	1	0	6
Louisiana	0	2	0	1	1	4
Maine	3	0	0	2	0	5
Maryland	0	1	0	0	0	1
Massachusetts	2	0	0	0	0	2
Michigan	4	2	6	1	0	13
Minnesota	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mississippi	0	1	1	1	0	3
Missouri	2	0	0	1	0	3
Montana	0	0	0	0	0	0
N. Mariana Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	1	0	0	0	1	2
Nevada	2	9	4	1	1	17
New Hampshire	3	1	0	1	0	5
New Jersey	1	2	0	0	0	3
New Mexico	1	5	4	3	4	17
New York	4	0	1	1	1	7
North Carolina	0	4	1	1	0	6
Ohio	5	2	9	2	5	23
Oklahoma	2	1	4	2	2	11
Oregon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	4	0	2	1	0	7
Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rhode Island	2	0	0	1	0	3
South Carolina	1	2	0	1	0	4
South Dakota	0	0	0	1	0	1
Tennessee	1	0	2	1	2	6
Texas	105	148	126	117	103	599
Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	1
Utah	0	0	1	0	0	1
Vermont	2	1	0	0	0	3
Virginia	2	4	2	1	2	11
Washington	5	2	3	1	1	12
West Virginia	2	0	0	0	0	2
Wisconsin	1	0	1	1	1	4
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table STM-17: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Market-countries

Market-country	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Cases
Albania	0	1	0	0	0	1
Argentina	0	0	0	1	0	1
Australia	1	0	1	0	0	2
Bahamas	28	9	10	8	5	60
Barbados	1	0	0	0	0	1
Belize	0	1	2	1	1	5
Bolivia	9	1	0	0	0	10
Brazil	12	5	6	0	0	23
Cambodia	0	1	1	0	0	2
Canada	14	17	22	14	25	92
Chile	0	4	2	0	0	6
China	2	1	0	0	0	3
Colombia	1	2	0	2	4	9
Costa Rica	0	1	1	0	1	3
Cuba	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dominica	2	1	0	1	0	4
Dominican Republic	12	1	2	3	2	20
Ecuador	1	1	0	0	0	2
El Salvador	7	7	4	0	0	18
France	0	0	1	0	0	1
Ghana	1	1	0	0	0	2
Grenada	0	0	1	0	0	1
Guadeloupe	2	0	0	0	0	2
Guatemala	2	2	3	4	0	11
Guyana	2	0	0	0	0	2
Haiti	14	8	5	4	4	35
Honduras	2	1	5	2	0	10
Indonesia	3	0	1	0	0	4
Iraq	1	0	3	1	2	7
Israel	0	0	0	0	1	1
Italy	1	1	0	0	0	2
Jamaica	3	4	3	0	2	12
Japan	0	1	0	0	0	1
Jordan	1	0	0	0	0	1
Lebanon	0	1	0	1	0	2
Liberia	0	1	0	0	0	1
Mali	0	0	0	1	0	1
Martinique	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mexico	189	236	202	202	182	1,011
Micronesia	0	0	0	1	0	1
Nigeria	1	0	1	0	0	2
Pakistan	0	1	0	0	0	1
Panama	3	5	1	3	0	12
Paraguay	2	2	1	1	1	7
Philippines	3	0	0	0	0	3
Russia	0	1	1	0	1	3
Saint Kitts	1	1	0	0	0	2
Saint Lucia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Saint Vincent	1	0	0	0	0	1
Saudi Arabia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Spain	0	0	0	0	1	1
Suriname	1	1	0	0	0	2
Sweden	0	0	1	0	0	1
Trinidad and Tobago	1	0	1	1	1	4
Turkey	2	0	0	0	0	2
Ukraine	0	1	2	0	0	3
United Kingdom	0	1	0	1	0	2
Venezuela	1	0	0	0	0	1

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table STM-19: U.S. to Foreign Trafficking: Pipelines

Pipeline	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Cases
Alabama to Honduras	0	0	1	0	0	1
Alabama to Mexico	1	0	1	1	1	4
Alabama to Trinidad and Tobago	0	0	1	0	0	1
Arizona to Australia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Arizona to Canada	1	0	0	0	2	3
Arizona to China	1	0	0	0	0	1
Arizona to Mexico	73	68	64	72	72	349
Arizona to Micronesia	0	0	0	1	0	1
Arkansas to Canada	0	0	0	0	1	1
Arkansas to El Salvador	0	1	0	0	0	1
Arkansas to Mexico	0	0	0	1	2	3
California to Australia	1	0	0	0	0	1
California to Cambodia	0	1	1	0	0	2
California to El Salvador	2	2	0	0	0	4
California to Ghana	1	0	0	0	0	1
California to Guatemala	0	0	1	0	0	1
California to Indonesia	1	0	0	0	0	1
California to Mexico	5	9	5	2	1	22
Colorado to Brazil	0	1	0	0	0	1
Colorado to Haiti	0	0	0	1	0	1
Colorado to Mali	0	0	0	1	0	1
Colorado to Mexico	1	0	1	1	1	4
Delaware to China	1	1	0	0	0	2
Florida to Argentina	0	0	0	1	0	1
Florida to Bahamas	27	9	9	8	5	58
Florida to Bolivia	9	1	0	0	0	10
Florida to Brazil	10	3	4	0	0	17
Florida to Canada	0	4	2	2	4	12
Florida to Chile	0	0	1	0	0	1
Florida to Colombia	1	1	0	1	4	7
Florida to Costa Rica	0	0	1	0	0	1
Florida to Dominican Republic	8	1	1	1	0	11
Florida to Ecuador	1	1	0	0	0	2
Florida to El Salvador	1	0	0	0	0	1
Florida to France	0	0	1	0	0	1
Florida to Guatemala	0	0	0	3	0	3
Florida to Haiti	14	8	4	2	4	3
Florida to Honduras	1	0	2	2	0	5
Florida to Jamaica	3	0	0	0	2	5
Florida to Mexico	0	0	2	1	0	3
Florida to Nigeria	1	0	0	0	0	1
Florida to Pakistan	0	1	0	0	0	1
Florida to Panama	3	2	1	2	0	8
Florida to Paraguay	2	1	0	1	1	5
Florida to Philippines	1	0	0	0	0	1
Florida to Saint Kitts	0	1	0	0	0	1
Florida to Saint Lucia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Florida to Saint Vincent	1	0	0	0	0	1
Florida to Spain	0	0	0	0	1	1
Florida to Suriname	1	1	0	0	0	2
Florida to Sweden	0	0	1	0	0	1
Florida to Trinidad and Tobago	0	0	0	1	0	1
Florida to United Kingdom	0	1	0	1	0	2
Florida to Venezuela	1	0	0	0	0	1
Georgia to Bahamas	0	0	1	0	0	1
Georgia to Canada	1	8	3	1	0	13
Georgia to Dominica	0	1	0	0	0	1
Georgia to Dominican Republic	1	0	0	0	0	1
Georgia to Ghana	0	1	0	0	0	1
Georgia to Grenada	0	0	1	0	0	1
Georgia to Haiti	0	0	1	1	0	2
Georgia to Israel	0	0	0	0	1	1
Georgia to Italy	0	1	0	0	0	1
Georgia to Jamaica	0	3	2	0	0	5
Georgia to Mexico	1	2	4	0	1	8
Idaho to Jamaica	0	1	0	0	0	1
Idaho to Mexico	1	0	0	0	0	1

Illinois to Belize	0	1	0	1	1	3
Illinois to El Salvador	0	0	1	0	0	1
Illinois to Mexico	1	0	2	0	1	4
Illinois to Russia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Illinois to Ukraine	0	0	1	0	0	1
Indiana to Canada	1	0	0	0	0	1
Indiana to Mexico	1	2	0	0	1	4
Iowa to Mexico	0	0	0	1	0	1
Kansas to Brazil	0	0	1	0	0	1
Kansas to Iraq	0	0	0	0	1	1
Kansas to Mexico	0	0	0	1	1	2
Kentucky to Canada	0	0	1	1	0	2
Kentucky to Guatemala	1	0	0	0	0	1
Kentucky to Mexico	1	1	0	0	0	2
Kentucky to Paraguay	0	0	1	0	0	1
Louisiana to Mexico	0	2	0	0	1	3
Louisiana to Panama	0	0	0	1	0	1
Maine to Canada	2	0	0	2	0	4
Maine to Saint Kitts	1	0	0	0	0	1
Maryland to Paraguay	0	1	0	0	0	1
Massachusetts to Saint Kitts	1	0	0	0	0	1
Massachusetts to Turkey	1	0	0	0	0	1
Michigan to Albania	0	1	0	0	0	1
Michigan to Canada	2	1	5	1	0	9
Michigan to Guadeloupe	1	0	0	0	0	1
Michigan to Jordan	1	0	0	0	0	1
Michigan to Mexico	0	0	1	0	0	1
Minnesota to Mexico	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mississippi to Belize	0	0	0	1	0	1
Mississippi to Guatemala	0	1	1	0	0	2
Missouri to Brazil	1	0	0	0	0	1
Missouri to El Salvador	1	0	0	0	0	1
Missouri to Mexico	0	0	0	1	0	1
Nebraska to Iraq	0	0	0	0	1	1
Nebraska to Mexico	1	0	0	0	0	1
Nevada to Belize	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nevada to Brazil	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nevada to El Salvador	0	1	0	0	0	1
Nevada to Guatemala	1	0	0	0	0	1
Nevada to Mexico	1	8	2	1	1	13
New Hampshire to Canada	2	0	0	0	0	2
New Hampshire to Dominican Republic	0	0	0	1	0	1
New Hampshire to Indonesia	1	0	0	0	0	1
New Hampshire to Lebanon	0	1	0	0	0	1
New Jersey to El Salvador	0	1	0	0	0	1
New Jersey to Philippines	1	0	0	0	0	1
New Jersey to Ukraine	0	1	0	0	0	1
New Mexico to Mexico	1	5	4	3	4	17
New York to Canada	2	0	1	1	0	4
New York to Dominican Republic	0	0	0	0	1	1
New York to Trinidad and Tobago	1	0	0	0	0	1
New York to Turkey	1	0	0	0	0	1
North Carolina to Costa Rica	0	1	0	0	0	1
North Carolina to Honduras	0	0	1	0	0	1
North Carolina to Liberia	0	1	0	0	0	1
North Carolina to Mexico	0	2	0	1	0	3
Ohio to Canada	2	2	7	0	5	16
Ohio to Dominican Republic	1	0	0	0	1	2
Ohio to Guadeloupe	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ohio to Guyana	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ohio to Jamaica	0	0	1	0	0	1
Ohio to Mexico	0	0	1	2	0	3
Ohio to Trinidad and Tobago	0	0	0	0	1	1
Oklahoma to Iraq	0	0	1	0	0	1
Oklahoma to Mexico	2	1	3	2	2	10
Pennsylvania to Canada	0	0	1	1	0	2
Pennsylvania to Dominican Republic	1	0	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to El Salvador	1	0	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to Guyana	1	0	0	0	0	1
Pennsylvania to Iraq	1	0	0	0	0	1

Pennsylvania to Saudi Arabia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Rhode Island to Dominican Republic	1	0	0	1	0	2
Rhode Island to Mexico	1	0	0	0	0	1
South Carolina to Canada	0	0	0	1	0	1
South Carolina to Honduras	1	0	0	0	0	1
South Carolina to Mexico	0	1	0	0	0	1
South Carolina to Panama	0	1	0	0	0	1
South Dakota to Mexico	0	0	0	1	0	1
Tennessee to Brazil	1	0	0	0	0	1
Tennessee to Canada	0	0	0	0	2	2
Tennessee to Colombia	0	0	0	1	0	1
Tennessee to Mexico	0	0	2	0	0	2
Texas to Belize	0	0	1	0	0	1
Texas to Canada	0	0	1	4	9	14
Texas to Chile	0	4	1	0	0	5
Texas to Costa Rica	0	0	0	0	1	1
Texas to Cuba	0	0	1	0	0	1
Texas to Dominica	0	0	0	1	0	1
Texas to El Salvador	1	2	3	0	0	6
Texas to Guatemala	0	1	0	1	0	2
Texas to Honduras	0	1	1	0	0	2
Texas to Indonesia	1	0	0	0	0	1
Texas to Iraq	0	0	2	1	0	3
Texas to Lebanon	0	0	0	1	0	1
Texas to Martinique	0	0	0	0	1	1
Texas to Mexico	103	138	116	109	92	558
Texas to Nigeria	0	0	1	0	0	1
Texas to Panama	0	1	0	0	0	1
Texas to Russia	0	1	0	0	1	2
Unknown to Bahamas	1	0	0	0	0	1
Utah to Mexico	0	0	1	0	0	1
Vermont to Canada	2	1	0	0	0	3
Virginia to Barbados	1	0	0	0	0	1
Virginia to Brazil	0	1	0	0	0	1
Virginia to Canada	0	1	0	0	2	3
Virginia to Colombia	0	1	0	0	0	1
Virginia to Dominican Republic	0	0	1	0	0	1
Virginia to El Salvador	1	0	0	0	0	1
Virginia to Guatemala	0	0	1	0	0	1
Virginia to Mexico	0	0	0	1	0	1
Virginia to Panama	0	1	0	0	0	1
Washington to Canada	2	0	1	0	0	3
Washington to Indonesia	0	0	1	0	0	1
Washington to Italy	1	0	0	0	0	1
Washington to Japan	0	1	0	0	0	1
Washington to Mexico	1	1	0	1	1	4
Washington to Philippines	1	0	0	0	0	1
Washington to Ukraine	0	0	1	0	0	1
West Virginia to Dominica	2	0	0	0	0	2
West Virginia to Guadeloupe	2	0	0	0	0	2
Wisconsin to Canada	1	0	0	0	0	1
Wisconsin to Mexico	0	0	1	1	1	3

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table STM-20: Foreign to U.S. Trafficking: Source-countries

Source-country	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Cases
China	3	8	19	17	5	52
Mexico	2	3	4	2	1	12
Russia	2	0	2	2	2	8
Sweden	1	4	0	0	0	5
Canada	1	0	0	1	2	4
Germany	1	1	1	0	0	3
Turkey	0	1	0	0	1	2
Brazil	1	1	0	0	0	2
Jamaica	1	0	1	0	0	2
Ukraine	2	0	0	0	0	2
Czechia	0	0	0	0	1	1
Romania	0	0	0	0	1	1
Iraq	0	0	1	0	0	1
Italy	0	1	0	0	0	1
New Zealand	0	1	0	0	0	1
Philippines	0	1	0	0	0	1
South Africa	0	1	0	0	0	1
Estonia	1	0	0	0	0	1
Malaysia	1	0	0	0	0	1
Poland	1	0	0	0	0	1

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive.

ENDNOTES

¹ Three of the 12 foreign to U.S. trafficking cases involving Mexico as a source country also involved Mexico as a target country in U.S. to foreign trafficking.

² Due to low yearly case counts, a trend analysis could only be performed for China.